arXiv:1410.8333v1 [math.FA] 30 Oct 2014

Demi-linear Analysis III —Demi-distributions with Compact Support

Li Ronglu
Dept. Math., Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 150001, China
Zhong Shuhui*
Dept. Math., Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, China
E-mail: shuhuizhong@126.com
Ctr. Appl. Math., Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, China
E-mail: shuhuizhong@126.com
Kim Dohan
Dept. Math., Seoul National University, Seoul, 151-742, Korea
Wu Junde
Dept. Math., Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China
E-mail: wjd@zju.edu.cn

Abstract. A series of detailed quantitative results is established for the family of demi-distributions which is a large extension of the family of usual distributions.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 46A30, 46F05.
Keywords: demi-linear functionals; demi-distributions

In [1] we show that there is an entirely original generalization of the basic theory of usual distributions.

As was shown in [1] and [2], the family of demi-distributions is a large extension of the family of usual distributions, that is, the family of demi-distributions includes nonlinear functionals as many as usual distributions, at least.

The theory of demi-distributions not only contains the theory of usual distributions as a special case but causes a series of essential changes in the distribution theory. For instance, in the case of usual distributions the constant distributions are only solutions of the equation $y' = 0$ but in the case of demi-distributions the equation $y' = 0$ has extremely many solutions which are nonlinear functionals, and every constant is of course a solution of $y' = 0$ [1, Th. 2.3]. Moreover, the family of demi-distributions is closed with respect to extremely many of nonlinear transformations such as $|f(\cdot)|$, $|f(\cdot)|^{2/3}$, $\sin|f(\cdot)|$, $e^{|f(\cdot)|-1}$, etc.

* This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11126165) and the Seed Foundation of Tianjin University (Grant No. 60302051).

In this paper we carry out a detailed quantitative analysis for demi-distributions. Our vivid quantitative results show that the demi-linear mapping introduced in [2] is a very successful scientific object and, indeed, since the basic principles such as the equicontinuity theorem and the uniform boundedness principle hold for the family of demi-linear mappings [2, Th. 3.1, Th. 3.2, Th. 3.3 , Th. 4.1] and a nice duality theory has established for demi-linear dual pairs [3, Th. 3.4, Th. 3.12, Th. 3.14, Th. 3.22, Th. 3.24], it is trivial that the family of demi-linear mappings is a very valuable extension of the family of linear operators.

1 Introduction

Fix an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a nonempty open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let

$$C^\infty(\Omega) = \big\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^\Omega : \xi \text{ is infinitely differentiable in } \Omega\big\},$$

$$C_0^\infty(\Omega) = \big\{\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega) : supp\,\xi \text{ is compact}\big\},$$

where $supp\,\xi = \overline{\{x \in \Omega : \xi(x) \neq 0\}} \cap \Omega$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^\Omega$ and so if $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ then the compact $supp\,\xi = \overline{\{x \in \Omega : \xi(x) \neq 0\}} \cap \Omega = \overline{\{x \in \Omega : \xi(x) \neq 0\}} \subset \Omega$. For every $M \subset \Omega$, $C^\infty(M) = \{\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega) : supp\,\xi \subset M\}$ and $C_0^\infty(M) = \{\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) : supp\,\xi \subset M\}$ [4, p.14].

Let K be a compact subset of Ω, that is, K is bounded and closed in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $K \subset \Omega$, and $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$. Then

$$\|\xi\|_{K,k} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_K |\partial^\alpha \xi|,\ \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$$

defines a seminorm on $C^\infty(\Omega)$, and the family $\big\{\|\cdot\|_{K,k} : K \text{ is compact}, K \subset \Omega,\ k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}\big\}$ gives a locally convex Fréchet topology for $C^\infty(\Omega)$, and $C^\infty(\Omega)$ has the Montel property, i.e., bounded sets in $C^\infty(\Omega)$ are relatively compact [5, 2.1].

For a compact $K \subset \Omega$ the sequence $\big\{\|\cdot\|_{K,k}\big\}_{k=0}^\infty$ gives a locally convex Fréchet topology for $C^\infty(K)$. Since $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty K_j$ where each K_j is compact and $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots$, with the inductive topology using the inclusion maps, $C_0^\infty(\Omega) = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty C^\infty(K_j)$ is a (LF) space which are both barrelled and bornological. Then $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ also has the montel property, and the inclusion map $I : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to C^\infty(\Omega)$ is continuous [5, 2.2].

A distribution f in Ω is a continuous linear functional on $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, that is, $f : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}$ is linear and for every compact $K \subset \Omega$ there exist $C > 0$ and $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_K \big|\partial^\alpha \xi\big|,\quad \xi \in C_0^\infty(K) = C^\infty(K) \tag{1.1}$$

[4, Def. 2.1.1, Th. 2.1.4].

Let $C(0) = \big\{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{C} : \lim_{t \to 0} \gamma(t) = \gamma(0) = 0,\ |\gamma(t)| \geq |t| \text{ if } |t| \leq 1\big\}$. For a topological vector space X, $\mathcal{N}(X)$ denotes the family of neighborhoods of $0 \in X$.

Definition 1.1 *([2, Def. 2.1]) Let X, Y be topological vector spaces over the scalar field $\mathbb{K}$. A mapping $f : X \to Y$ is said to be demi-linear if $f(0) = 0$*

and there exist $\gamma \in C(0)$ *and* $U \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ *such that every* $x \in X$, $u \in U$ *and* $t \in \{t \in \mathbb{K} : |t| \leq 1\}$ *yield* $r,\ s \in \mathbb{K}$ *for which* $|r-1| \leq |\gamma(t)|$, $|s| \leq |\gamma(t)|$ *and* $f(x+tu) = rf(x) + sf(u)$.

Let $\mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(X,Y)$ be the family of demi-linear mappings related to $\gamma \in C(0)$ and $U \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, and let

$$\mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(X,Y) = \{f \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(X,Y) : \text{if } x \in X,\ u \in U \text{ and } |t| \leq 1, \text{ then } f(x+tu) = f(x) + sf(u) \text{ for some } s \text{ with } |s| \leq |\gamma(t)|\}.$$

If $\gamma(t) = Mt$ with $M \geq 1$, then we write that $\mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(X,Y) = \mathscr{L}_{M,U}(X,Y)$ and $\mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(X,Y) = \mathscr{K}_{M,U}(X,Y)$. Moreover, if X is normed and $U = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq \varepsilon\}$ then $\mathscr{L}_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(X,Y) = \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(X,Y)$ and $\mathscr{K}_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(X,Y) = \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(X,Y)$. Thus, both $\mathscr{L}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ are families of demi-linear functions in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Definition 1.2 *([1, Def. 1.1]) A function* $f : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}$ *is called a demi-distribution if* f *is continuous and* $f \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$ *for some* $\gamma \in C(0)$ *and* $U \in \mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))$.

Let $C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{(\gamma,U)}$ (resp., $C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$) be the family of demi-distributions which are functionals in $\mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$ (resp., $\mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$).

Let $C_0^\infty(\Omega)'$ be the family of usual distributions. Then

$$\mathscr{D}'(\Omega) = C_0^\infty(\Omega)' \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]} \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{(\gamma,U)}, \quad \forall\, \gamma \in C(0),\ U \in \mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))$$

and, in general, $C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]} \backslash C_0^\infty(\Omega)'$ includes nonlinear functionals as many as usual distributions, at least (see [1-3]).

Notice that the notations $\mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(X,Y)$, $\mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(X,Y)$, $C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{(\gamma,U)}$ and $C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ always mean that $\gamma \in C(0)$ and $U \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ (resp., $\mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))$), automatically. We also have similar understanding for $\mathscr{L}_{M,U}(X,Y)$, $\mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$, etc.

2 Continuity of Demi-distributions

Throughout this paper, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Ω is a nonempty open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.1 $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{C}^\Omega$, $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$. *For* $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$ *and* $f : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{C}$, *let*

$$supp\, \xi = \overline{\{x \in \Omega : \xi(x) \neq 0\}} \cap \Omega,$$

$$supp\, f = \{x \in \Omega : \forall \text{ open } G \subset \Omega \text{ with } x \in G\, \exists\, \xi \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } supp\, \xi \subset G \text{ such that } f(\xi) \neq 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.1 $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{C}^\Omega$, $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$. *For* $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$ *and* $f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{S}}$, *both* $supp\, \xi$ *and* $supp\, f$ *are closed in* Ω *and so both* $\Omega \backslash supp\, \xi$ *and* $\Omega \backslash supp\, f$ *are open in* $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Let $x_k \in supp\, f$ *and* $x_k \to x \in \Omega$. *If* $x \notin supp\, f$ *then there is an open* $G \subset \Omega$ *with* $x \in G$ *such that* $f(\xi) = 0$ *for every* $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$ *with* $supp\, \xi \subset G$. *But* $x_k \in G$ *eventually and so* $x_k \notin supp\, f$ *eventually. This contradiction shows that* $x \in supp\, f$. □

Lemma 2.2 *Let* $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ *and* $f \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$. *If* $supp\, \xi \cap supp\, f = \emptyset$, *then* $f(\xi) = 0$.

Proof. If $\xi = 0$ *then* $f(\xi) = f(0) = 0$ *by Def. 1.1.*

Let $\xi \neq 0$. Since $\operatorname{supp}\xi \neq \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{supp} f \cap \operatorname{supp}\xi = \emptyset$, $\operatorname{supp} f \subsetneqq \Omega$. By Lemma 2.1, for every $x \in \operatorname{supp}\xi$ there is an open $G_x \subset \Omega\backslash\operatorname{supp} f$ such that $x \in G_x$ and $f(\eta) = 0$ for all $\eta \in C_0^\infty(G_x)$. Since $\operatorname{supp}\xi$ is compact, there exist $x_1, \cdots, x_m \in \operatorname{supp}\xi$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\xi \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m G_{x_j}$ and so $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\bigcup_{j=1}^m G_{x_j})$. By Th. 1.4.4 of [4], $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j$ where $\xi_j \in C_0^\infty(G_{x_j})$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Pick a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\frac{1}{p}\xi_j \in U$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. But each $\frac{1}{p}\xi_j \in C_0^\infty(G_{x_j})$ so $f(\frac{1}{p}\xi_j) = 0$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\xi) = f\Big(\sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j\Big) &= f\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \xi_j + (p-1)\frac{1}{p}\xi_m + \frac{1}{p}\xi_m\Big) \\ &= r_1 f\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \xi_j + (p-1)\frac{1}{p}\xi_m\Big) + s_1 f\Big(\frac{1}{p}\xi_m\Big) \\ &= r_1 f\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \xi_j + (p-2)\frac{1}{p}\xi_m + \frac{1}{p}\xi_m\Big) \\ &= r_1 r_2 \cdots r_p f\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \xi_j\Big) = \cdots = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_{mp-1} f\Big(\frac{1}{p}\xi_1\Big) = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

A linear functional $f : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous if and only if the condition (1.1) holds for f [4, Th. 2.1.4]. However, for demi-linear functionals in $\mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$ the relation between continuity and the condition (1.1) is quite complicated. First, we show that many demi-distributions satisfy the condition (1.1).

Example 2.1 *(1) Let $n = 1$ and $f(\xi) = \int_{-1}^1 |\sin|\xi(x)||\, dx$, $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. It is easy to see that f is not linear but $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})^{[\gamma,U]}$ where $\gamma(t) = \frac{\pi}{2}t$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $U = \big\{\xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : \sup_{|x|\leq 1} |\xi(x)| \leq 1\big\}$. For every compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in C_0^\infty(K)$,*

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| = \int_{-1}^1 \big|\sin|\xi(x)|\big|\, dx \leq \int_{-1}^1 \big|\xi(x)\big|\, dx \leq 2\sup_{x\in K}\big|\xi(x)\big| = 2\sup_K \big|\partial^0\xi\big|.$$

Thus, f is a demi-distribution of order 0. Moreover, the constant $C = 2$ in (1.1) is available for all compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}$.

(2) Pick a $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \leq M < +\infty$ and let

$$\big[f\big](\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|f(x)\xi(x)\big|\, dx, \quad \xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathscr{D}.$$

Then $[f]$ is not linear but $[f] \in \mathscr{D}^{[\gamma,\mathscr{D}]}$ for every $\gamma \in C(0)$ [1, Exam. 1.1(1)].

Let K be a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Pick a cube $L \supset K$ for which $|L| = \int_L 1\, dx < +\infty$. Then

$$\big|\big[f\big](\xi)\big| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|f(x)\xi(x)\big|\, dx \leq M\int_L \big|\xi(x)\big|\, dx \leq M|L|\sup_K\big|\partial^0\xi\big|, \quad \forall\, \xi \in C_0^\infty(K).$$

Thus, the condition (1.1) holds for the demi-distribution $[f]$. If $f(x) = |x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$ for all $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\operatorname{supp}[f] = \mathbb{R}^n$ is not compact but the condition (1.1) holds for $[f]$ and $[f]$ is of order 0.

For $\gamma(t) = Mt$ where $M \geq 1$ we will show that if $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ and *supp* f is compact, then the condition (1.1) holds for f and, in fact, f has a more strong property (see § 4, Th. 4.2), and Exam. 2.1(2) is interesting because this example shows that the condition (1.1) can not imply compactness of support. Moreover, the condition (1.1) fails to hold for some $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{(\gamma,U)} \backslash C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$, though *supp* f is compact. We show that (1.1) can be false even if *supp* $f = \{x_0\}$ is a singleton.

Example 2.2 *For $a > 0$ let $H_a(x) = 1/a$ when $0 < x < a$ and $H_a(x) = 0$ otherwise. Let $1 \geq a_0 > a_1 > a_2 > \cdots$ be a positive sequence with $\sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j = a < +\infty$ and $u = \lim_k (H_{a_0} * \cdots * H_{a_k})$. By Th. 1.3.5 of [4], $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, supp $u \subset [0, a]$, $\int u\, dx = 1$ and*

$$\big|u^{(k)}(x)\big| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int \big|u^{(k+1)}(x)\big|\, dx \leq 2^k/(a_0 \cdots a_k), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\ k = 0, 1, 2 \cdots .$$

Pick an $x_0 \in (0, a)$ for which $u(x_0) = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} u(x) > 0$ and define a continuous $f : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f(\xi) = e^{|\xi(x_0)|} - 1$, $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Letting $\gamma(t) = et$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $U = \big\{\xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : \sup_{0\leq x\leq a} |\xi(x)| \leq 1\big\}$, it is easy to see that $f \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$ and so f is a demi-distribution in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})^{(\gamma,U)}$. Clearly, supp f is compact and, in fact, supp $f = \{x_0\}$.

Observe that $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then $mu \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and supp $(mu) =$ supp $u \subset [0, a]$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$f(mu) = e^{|mu(x_0)|} - 1 = e^{mu(x_0)} - 1 = e^{c_m} mu(x_0) \text{ where } \lim_m e^{c_m} = +\infty.$$

Now let $C > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. There is an $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$e^{c_m} > C(k+1) \frac{2^k}{u(x_0) a_0 a_1 \cdots a_k},\ \forall\, m \geq m_0.$$

Then $|f(mu)| = e^{c_m} mu(x_0) > C(k+1) m \frac{2^k}{a_0 a_1 \cdots a_k} > C \sum_{j=0}^k m \frac{2^j}{a_0 a_1 \cdots a_j} \geq C \sum_{j=0}^k |(mu)^{(j)}(x)|$, $\forall\, m \geq m_0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus, for every $C > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mu \in C_0^\infty([0, a])$ for all $m \geq m_0$ and

$$\big|f(mu)\big| > C \sum_{j=0}^k \sup_{x\in[0,a]} \big|(mu)^{(j)}(x)\big|, \quad \forall\, m \geq m_0,$$

that is, the condition (1.1) fails to hold for f.

However, for demi-distributions there is a simple condition implies (1.1).

Theorem 2.1 *Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{(\gamma,U)}$. If there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\varepsilon \big|tf(\xi)\big| \leq \big|f(t\xi)\big|, \quad \forall\, t > 0,\ \xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \tag{2.1}$$

then the condition (1.1) holds for f.

Proof. If the conclusion fails, there is a compact $K \subset \Omega$ such that

$$\forall\, j \in \mathbb{N}\, \exists\, \xi_j \in C_0^\infty(K) \text{ such that } \big|f(\xi_j)\big| > j \sum_{|\alpha|\leq j} \sup_K \big|\partial^\alpha \xi_j\big|. \tag{2.2}$$

Then $|f(\xi_j)|>0$, $\xi_j\neq 0$, $\xi_j(x_j)\neq 0$ *for some* $x_j\in K$ *and*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\le j}\sup_K\big|\partial^\alpha\xi_j\big|\ge\sup_K\big|\partial^0\xi_j\big|\ge\big|\xi_j(x_j)\big|>0,\quad j=1,2,3,\cdots.$$

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\varepsilon<\varepsilon\Big|\frac{f(\xi_j)}{j\sum_{|\alpha|\le j}\sup_K|\partial^\alpha\xi_j|}\Big|\le\Big|f\big(\frac{\xi_j}{j\sum_{|\alpha|\le j}\sup_K|\partial^\alpha\xi_j|}\big)\Big|,\ j=1,2,3,\cdots. \tag{2.3}$$

Let β *be a multi-index. Then*

$$\sup_{x\in K}\Big|\partial^\beta\big(\frac{\xi_j}{j\sum_{|\alpha|\le j}\sup_K|\partial^\alpha\xi_j|}\big)(x)\Big|\le\frac{1}{j},\quad\forall\, j\ge|\beta|,$$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\sup_K\Big|\partial^\beta\big(\frac{\xi_j}{j\sum_{|\alpha|\le j}\sup_K|\partial^\alpha\xi_j|}\big)\Big|=0,\quad\forall\ \textit{multi-index}\ \beta. \tag{2.4}$$

Observing $\big\{\xi_j/j\sum_{|\alpha|\le j}\sup_K|\partial^\alpha\xi_j|\big\}\subset C_0^\infty(K)$, *it follows from (2.4) that*

$$\frac{\xi_j}{j\sum_{|\alpha|\le j}\sup_K|\partial^\alpha\xi_j|}\to 0\ \textit{in}\ C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Since $f\in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{(\gamma,U)}$ *is continuous,* $f\big(\frac{\xi_j}{j\sum_{|\alpha|\le j}\sup_K|\partial^\alpha\xi_j|}\big)\to 0$ *but this contradicts (2.3).* □

Theorem 2.2 *Every nonzero usual distribution* $f\in\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ *produces uncountably many of nonlinear demi-distributions satisfying the conditions (2.1) and (1.1).*

Proof. Let $f\in\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$, $f\neq 0$. *There is* $U\in\mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))$ *such that* $|f(\eta)|\le 1$ *for all* $\eta\in U$.

Pick a nonlinear continuous $h:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$h(x)=x\ \textit{when}\ |x|\le 1,\quad\frac{1}{2}\le\frac{h(b)-h(a)}{b-a}\le 1\ \textit{when}\ a<b.$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ *includes uncountably many of this kind functions. For every* $\varepsilon\in(0,\frac{1}{2}]$ *and* $x\in\mathbb{R}$ *we have*

$$\varepsilon|x|\le\big|h(x)\big|\le|x|,\quad\varepsilon|x|\le\big|h(|x|)\big|=h\big(|x|\big)\le|x|.$$

By Th. 1.1 of [2], $h\in\mathscr{K}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, *that is, for* $x\in\mathbb{R}$ *and* $u,t\in[-1,1]$ *we have that* $h(x+tu)=h(x)+sh(u)$ *where* $|s|\le|t|$. *Then for* $\xi\in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $\eta\in U$ *and* $|t|\le 1$, $h(|f(\xi+t\eta)|)=h(|f(\xi)+tf(\eta)|)=h(|f(\xi)|+s|f(\eta)|)$ *where* $|s|\le|t|\le 1$ *and, therefore,*

$$h\big(|f(\xi+t\eta)|\big)=h\big(|f(\xi)|\big)+s'h\big(|f(\eta)|\big),\quad|s'|\le|s|\le|t|\le|\gamma(t)|,\ \forall\,\gamma\in C(0).$$

This shows that $h(|f(\cdot)|)\in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}\backslash\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$, $\forall\,\gamma\in C(0)$.

Let $0<\varepsilon\le\frac{1}{2}$. *Then*

$$\varepsilon\big|th\big(|f(\xi)|\big)\big|\le\varepsilon\big|tf(\xi)\big|=\varepsilon\big|f(t\xi)\big|\le\big|h\big(|f(t\xi)|\big)\big|,\quad\forall\, t\in\mathbb{R},\ \xi\in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

So (2.1) holds for $h(|f(\cdot)|)$. *By Th. 2.1,* $h(|f(\cdot)|)$ *satisfies the condition (1.1), and the usual distribution* f *produces uncountably many of this kind nonlinear demi-distributions.* □

We also are interested in the converse implications.

Theorem 2.3 *Let $f \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$. If the condition (1.1) holds for f, then f is sequentially continuous.*

Proof. Suppose $\xi_j \to \xi$ in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Then $\xi_j - \xi \to 0$ and there is a compact $K \subset \Omega$ such that $supp\,(\xi_j - \xi) \subset K$ for all j [4, p.35]. Moreover, there exist sequences $t_j \to 0$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\eta_j \to 0$ in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\xi_j - \xi = t_j\eta_j$ for all j [6, Exam. 2]. We may assume that $|t_j| \le 1$ and $\eta_j \in U$ for all j. Then

$$f(\xi_j) - f(\xi) = f(\xi + \xi_j - \xi) - f(\xi) = f(\xi + t_j\eta_j) - f(\xi) = (r_j - 1)f(\xi) + s_j f(\eta_j),$$

where $|r_j - 1| \le |\gamma(t_j)| \to 0$ and $|s_j| \le |\gamma(t_j)| \to 0$.

If $t_j = 0$ then $f(\xi_j) = f(\xi + t_j\eta_j) = f(\xi)$ so we may assume that $t_j \ne 0$ for all j. Then $supp\,\eta_j = supp\,(t_j\eta_j) = supp\,(\xi_j - \xi) \subset K$ for all j and by the condition (1.1) there exist $C > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that

$$\big|f(\eta_j)\big| \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \sup_K \big|\partial^\alpha \eta_j\big| \to 0 \text{ as } j \to \infty \text{ since } \eta_j \to 0 \text{ in } C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

so $f(\eta_j) \to 0$. Thus, $f(\xi_j) - f(\xi) = (r_j - 1)f(\xi) + s_j f(\eta_j) \to 0$. □

Let $\gamma_0 \in C(0)$, $\gamma_0(t) = t$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$. For every $U \in \mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))$ the family $\mathscr{K}_{1,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C}) = \mathscr{K}_{\gamma_0,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$ includes all linear functionals and much more nonlinear functionals, e.g., for every nonzero linear $f : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}$, $|f(\cdot)|$ is nonlinear but $|f(\cdot)| \in \mathscr{K}_{1,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$, $\forall\, U \in \mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))$.

Theorem 2.4 *If $f \in \mathscr{K}_{1,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$ and the condition (1.1) holds for f, then f is continuous and so f is a demi-distribution in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma_0,U]}$.*

Proof. By Th. 2.3, f is sequentially continuous. Since $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is bornological, $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is C-sequential and f is continuous by Th. 1.1 of [1]. □

In § 4 we will improve this result (see Cor. 4.2).

3 Extensions of Demi-distributions

For every $C \ge 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mathscr{K}_{C,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ includes uncountably many nonlinear functionals, and $h \circ f$ is a demi-distribution in Ω for every $h \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ and $f \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ (see [1, Th. 1.5, Cor. 1.1]).

Theorem 3.1 *If $h \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$, $h \ne 0$ and $f \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$, then $h \circ f$ is a demi-distribution in Ω and*

$$supp\,\big(h \circ f\big) = supp\, f.$$

Proof. If $x \in \Omega \backslash supp\, f$ then there is an open $N_x \subset \Omega$ such that $x \in N_x$ and $f(\eta) = 0$ for all $\eta \in C_0^\infty(N_x)$. Then $(h \circ f)(\eta) = h(f(\eta)) = h(0) = 0$ when $\eta \in C_0^\infty(N_x)$ and so $x \notin supp\,(h \circ f)$. Thus $supp\,(h \circ f) \subset supp\, f$.

If $u \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < |u| < \varepsilon$ and $h(u) = 0$, then for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ there is a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{p}|\frac{z}{u}| \le 1$ and $h(z) = h(p\frac{z}{pu}u) = h((p-1)\frac{z}{pu}u + \frac{z}{pu}u) = r_1 h((p-1)\frac{z}{pu}u) + s_1 h(u) = r_1 h((p-1)\frac{z}{pu}u) = \cdots = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_{p-1} s_p h(u) = 0$. This contradicts that $h \ne 0$. Hence $h(u) \ne 0$ when $0 < |u| < \varepsilon$.

Let $x \in supp\, f$ and N_x an open neighborhood of x such that $N_x \subset \Omega$. Then $f(\eta) \ne 0$ for some $\eta \in C_0^\infty(N_x)$ and $0 < |\frac{1}{p}f(\eta)| < \varepsilon$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Observing f is a usual distribution, $\frac{1}{p}\eta \in C_0^\infty(N_x)$ and $(h \circ f)(\frac{1}{p}\eta) = h[f(\frac{1}{p}\eta)] = h[\frac{1}{p}f(\eta)] \ne 0$. This shows that $x \in supp\,(h \circ f)$ so $supp\, f \subset supp\,(h \circ f)$. □

For $M \subset \Omega$ let $C_0(M) = \big\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^\Omega : \xi \text{ is continuous}, \mathit{supp}\,\xi \text{ is compact}\big\}$. We have an analogue of Th. 1.4.4 of [4] as follows.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\Omega_1, \cdots, \Omega_k$ be open sets in Ω and let $\xi \in C_0(\bigcup_1^k \Omega_j)$. Then one can find $\xi_j \in C_0(\Omega_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, k$, such that $\xi = \sum_1^k \xi_j$. If $\xi \geq 0$ one can take all $\xi_j \geq 0$.*

Proof. If $x \in \mathit{supp}\,\xi$ then $x \in \Omega_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ and there is a compact neighborhood of x contained in Ω_j. Since $\mathit{supp}\,\xi$ is compact, a finite number of such neighborhoods can be chosen which cover all of $\mathit{supp}\,\xi$. Hence $\mathit{supp}\,\xi \subset \bigcup_1^k K_j$ where each K_j is compact and $K_j \subset \Omega_j$.

By Th. 1.4.1 of [4], there is $\mathcal{X}_j \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_j)$ such that $0 \leq \mathcal{X}_j \leq 1$ and $\mathcal{X}_j = 1$ in a neighborhood of K_j, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, k$. Let

$$\xi_1 = \xi\mathcal{X}_1,\ \xi_2 = \xi\mathcal{X}_2(1 - \mathcal{X}_1),\ \cdots,\ \xi_k = \xi\mathcal{X}_k(1 - \mathcal{X}_1)\cdots(1 - \mathcal{X}_{k-1}),$$

then each $\mathit{supp}\,\xi_j \subset \mathit{supp}\,\mathcal{X}_j \subset \Omega_j$ and $\xi = \sum_1^k \xi_j$. □

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset C(\Omega)$, $M \subset \Omega$ and $\mathcal{S}(M) = \big\{\xi \in \mathcal{S} : \mathit{supp}\,\xi \subset M\big\}$. For a function $f : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{C}$ define $f_M : \mathcal{S}(M) \to \mathbb{C}$ by $f_M(\xi) = f(\xi)$, $\forall\, \xi \in \mathcal{S}(M)$, and f_M is called the restriction of f to M.

We now improve Th. 2.2.1 of [4].

Theorem 3.2 *Let $f \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$. If every point in Ω has a neighborhood to which the restriction of f is 0, then $f = 0$. The same fact is valid for $f \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let $\xi \in C_0(\Omega)$. Since $\mathit{supp}\,\xi$ is compact, there exist $x_1, \cdots, x_m \in \mathit{supp}\,\xi$ such that $\mathit{supp}\,\xi \subset \bigcup_1^m N_j$ where N_j is an open neighborhood of x_j such that $f_{N_j} = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then $\xi \in C_0(\bigcup_1^m N_j)$ and $\xi = \sum_1^m \xi_j$ where each $\xi_j \in C_0(N_j)$ by Lemma 3.1.

Pick a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{p}\xi,\ \frac{1}{p}\xi_j \in U$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. Then $\frac{1}{p}\xi = \sum_1^m \frac{1}{p}\xi_j$ and $f(\frac{1}{p}\xi) = f(\sum_1^m \frac{1}{p}\xi_j) = r_1 f(\sum_1^{m-1} \frac{1}{p}\xi_j) + s_1 f(\frac{1}{p}\xi_m) = r_1 f(\sum_1^{m-1} \frac{1}{p}\xi_j) = \cdots = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_{m-1} f(\frac{1}{p}\xi_1) = 0$ since each $\frac{1}{p}\xi_j \in C_0(N_j)$ and $f(\frac{1}{p}\xi_j) = f_{N_j}(\frac{1}{p}\xi_j) = 0$. Thus, $f(\xi) = f(p\frac{1}{p}\xi) = t_1 f((p-1)\frac{1}{p}\xi) = \cdots = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{p-1} f(\frac{1}{p}\xi) = 0$.

The same conclusion can be obtained for $f \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$ using Th. 1.4.4 of [4] instead of Lemma 3.1. □

Theorem 3.3 *If $f \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$ (resp., $\mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$) and $\xi \in C_0(\Omega)$ (resp., $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$) such that $\mathit{supp}\, f \cap \mathit{supp}\ \xi = \emptyset$, then $f(\xi) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathit{supp}\,\xi$. Since $x \notin \mathit{supp}\, f$ and $\mathit{supp}\, f$ is closed in Ω by Lemma 2.1, there is an open neighborhood N_x of x such that $N_x \subset \Omega \backslash \mathit{supp}\, f$ and the restriction $f_{N_x} = 0$. Since $\mathit{supp}\,\xi$ is compact, there exist $x_1, \cdots, x_m \in \mathit{supp}\,\xi$ such that $\mathit{supp}\,\xi \subset \bigcup_1^m N_{x_j}$ and $f_{N_{x_j}} = 0$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. Then $\xi \in C_0(\bigcup_1^m N_{x_j})$ (resp., $C_0^\infty(\bigcup_1^m N_{x_j})$) and $\xi = \sum_1^m \xi_j$ where $\xi_j \in C_0(N_{x_j})$ (resp., $C_0^\infty(N_{x_j})$) by Lemma 3.1 (resp., Th. 1.4.4 of [4]), $j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Now $f(\xi) = 0$ as in the proof of Th. 3.2. □

Note that Th. 3.3 is not a consequence of Th. 3.2 because for $f \neq 0$ and $x \in \mathit{supp}\, f$ the restriction $f_{N_x} \neq 0$ when N_x is a neighborhood of x.

Definition 3.1 *Let $f \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. We say that $\xi = \xi_0 + \xi_1$ is a f-decomposition of ξ if $\xi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\mathit{supp}\,\xi_1 \cap \mathit{supp}\, f = \emptyset$.*

Observe that for every compact $K \subset \Omega$ there is a $\mathcal{X} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $0 \le \mathcal{X} \le 1$ and $\mathcal{X} = 1$ in a neighborhood of K.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $f \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $supp\,\xi \cap supp\, f$ is compact. If K is a compact subset of Ω such that $supp\,\xi \cap supp\, f \subseteq K$ and $\mathcal{X} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ for which $\mathcal{X} = 1$ in a neighborhood of K, then $\xi = \mathcal{X}\xi + (1-\mathcal{X})\xi$ is a f-decomposition of ξ: $\mathcal{X}\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $supp\,[(1-\mathcal{X})\xi] \cap supp\, f = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Since $supp\,(\mathcal{X}\xi) \subset supp\,\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{X}\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. There is an open $G \subset \Omega$ such that $K \subset G$ and $\mathcal{X} = 1$ in G. If $[(1-\mathcal{X})\xi](x) = (1-\mathcal{X}(x))\xi(x) \neq 0$, then $x \in supp\,\xi \cap (\Omega \backslash G)$ and so $supp\,[(1-\mathcal{X})\xi] \subset supp\,\xi \cap (\Omega\backslash G) \subset supp\,\xi \cap (\Omega\backslash K) \subset supp\,\xi \cap [\Omega\backslash(supp\,\xi \cap supp\, f)] \subset \Omega\backslash supp\, f$, i.e., $supp\,[(1-\mathcal{X})\xi] \cap supp\, f = \emptyset$. □

Corollary 3.1 *Let $f \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$ and $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. If K is a compact subset of Ω such that $supp\,\xi \cap supp\, f \subseteq K$ and $\mathcal{X} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ for which $\mathcal{X} = 1$ in a neighborhood of K, then $f(\xi) = f(\mathcal{X}\xi)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, $\xi = \mathcal{X}\xi + (1-\mathcal{X})\xi$ is a f-decomposition of ξ and $supp\,[(1-\mathcal{X})\xi] \cap supp\, f = \emptyset$. But $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ so $(1-\mathcal{X})\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

Pick a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi \in U$. Then $supp\,[\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi] \cap supp\, f = supp\,[(1-\mathcal{X})\xi] \cap supp\, f = \emptyset$ and $f[\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi] = 0$ by Lemma 2.2 (see also Th. 3.3). Hence

$f\big(\xi\big) = f\big[\mathcal{X}\xi + p\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big] = f\big[\mathcal{X}\xi + (p-1)\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big] = \cdots = f\big[\mathcal{X}\xi + \frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big] = f\big(\mathcal{X}\xi\big) + sf\big[\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big] = f\big(\mathcal{X}\xi\big)$. □

Theorem 3.4 *Let $f \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. If both $\xi = \xi_0 + \xi_1$ and $\xi = \eta_0 + \eta_1$ are f-decompositions of ξ, where $\xi_0, \eta_0 \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $supp\,\xi_1 \cap supp\, f = supp\,\eta_1 \cap supp\, f = \emptyset$, then $f(\xi_0) = f(\eta_0)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X} = \xi_0 - \eta_0$. Then $supp\,\mathcal{X} \subset supp\,\xi_0 \cup supp\,\eta_0$ and so $\mathcal{X} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Since $\eta_1 - \xi_1 = \xi_0 - \eta_0 = \mathcal{X}$ so $supp\,\mathcal{X} \subset supp\,\xi_1 \cup supp\,\eta_1$, $supp\,\mathcal{X} \cap supp\, f \subset (supp\,\xi_1 \cap supp\, f) \bigcup (supp\,\eta_1 \cap supp\, f) = \emptyset$.

Pick a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\frac{1}{p}\mathcal{X} \in U$. Then $supp\,(\frac{1}{p}\mathcal{X}) \cap (supp\, f) = \emptyset$ and so $f(\frac{1}{p}\mathcal{X}) = 0$ by Lemma 2.2. Then $\xi_0 = \eta_0 + \mathcal{X}$ and

$$f\big(\xi_0\big) = f\big(\eta_0 + p\frac{1}{p}\mathcal{X}\big) = f\big(\eta_0 + (p-1)\frac{1}{p}\mathcal{X}\big) = \cdots = f\big(\eta_0 + \frac{1}{p}\mathcal{X}\big) = f\big(\eta_0\big).$$ □

Corollary 3.2 *Let $f \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$ and $\xi, \eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. If $supp\,(\xi - \eta) \cap supp\, f = \emptyset$, then $f(\xi) = f(\eta)$.*

Proof. Both $\xi = \xi + 0$ and $\xi = \eta + (\xi - \eta)$ are f-decompositions of ξ so $f(\xi) = f(\eta)$. □

By Lemma 3.2 and Th. 3.4 we have

Definition 3.2 *For $f \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$ let*

$$\mathcal{S}(f) = \big\{\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega) : supp\,\xi \cap supp\, f \text{ is compact}\big\},$$

and define $\widetilde{f} : \mathcal{S}(f) \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\widetilde{f}(\xi) = f(\xi_0) \text{ when } \xi = \xi_0 + \xi_1 \text{ is a } f\text{-decomposition of } \xi \in \mathcal{S}(f).$$

We say that $\widetilde{f}$ is the canonical extension of f. If $supp\, f$ is compact then $\mathcal{S}(f) = C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\widetilde{f}$ is defined on $C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.5 *Let $f \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$. Then $\mathcal{S}(f)$ is a vector subspace of $C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{S}(f)$. Moreover,*

$$\widetilde{f}(\xi) = f(\xi),\ \xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

$$\widetilde{f}(\xi) = 0 \text{ when } \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega) \text{ but } supp\,\xi \cap supp\, f = \emptyset.$$

Proof. If $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{S}(f)$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$, then $(supp\,\xi \cap supp\, f)\bigcup(supp\,\eta \cap supp\, f)$ is compact and $supp\,(\xi + t\eta) \cap supp\, f \subset (supp\,\xi \cup supp\,\eta)\bigcap supp\, f$. This shows that $\xi + t\eta \in \mathcal{S}(f)$. If $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ then $supp\,\xi \cap supp\, f \subset supp\,\xi$ so $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(f)$, and $\widetilde{f} = f(\xi)$ since $\xi = \xi + 0$ is a f-decomposition of ξ.

If $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ but $supp\,\xi \cap supp\, f = \emptyset$, then $\xi = 0 + \xi$ is a f-decomposition of ξ and $\widetilde{f}(\xi) = f(0) = 0$ by Def. 1.1. $\square$

Recall that $C^\infty(\Omega)$ is a Fréchet space.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $T_\eta(\xi) = \eta\xi$ for $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. Then $T_\eta : C^\infty(\Omega) \to C^\infty(\Omega)$ is a continuous linear operator.*

Proof. Let $\xi_v \to 0$ in $C^\infty(\Omega)$. For every compact $K \subset \Omega$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, it follows from the Leibniz formula that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\eta\xi_v\big\|_{K,k} &= \sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \sup_K \big|\partial^\alpha(\eta\xi_v)\big| \\ &\le C \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\le k} \sup_K \big|\partial^\alpha\xi_v\big|\big|\partial^\beta\eta\big| \\ &\le C \max_{|\beta|\le k} \sup_K \big|\partial^\beta\eta\big| \sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \sup_K \big|\partial^\alpha\xi_v\big| \to 0.\ \square\end{aligned}$$

The topology of the inductive limit $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is strictly stronger than the topology of the subspace $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ of $C^\infty(\Omega)$. So the following fact is interesting and useful for further discussions.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $\mathcal{X} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $T_\mathcal{X}(\xi) = \mathcal{X}\xi$ for $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. Then $T_\mathcal{X} : C^\infty(\Omega) \to C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is a continuous linear operator.*

Proof. Let $\xi_v \to 0$ in $C^\infty(\Omega)$. Since $\mathcal{X} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $supp\,\mathcal{X}$ is compact and $supp\,(\mathcal{X}\xi_v) \subset supp\,\mathcal{X}$ for all v. By Lemma 3.3, $\mathcal{X}\xi_v \to 0$ in $C^\infty(\Omega)$ and so for every compact $K \subset \Omega$ and every multi-index α, $\lim_v \sup_K |\partial^\alpha(\mathcal{X}\xi_v)| \le \lim_v \sum_{|\beta|\le|\alpha|} \sup_K |\partial^\beta(\mathcal{X}\xi_v)| = \lim_v \|\mathcal{X}\xi_v\|_{K,|\alpha|} = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{X}\xi_v \to 0$ in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $T_\mathcal{X} : C^\infty(\Omega) \to C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is continuous because $C^\infty(\Omega)$ is a Fréchet space. $\square$

Lemma 3.5 *Let X, Y be topological vector spaces and $f \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(X,Y)$. Then f is continuous if and only if f is continuous at $0 \in X$.*

Proof. Suppose that f is continuous at $0 \in X$. Let $x \in X$ and $V \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$. Pick a balanced $W \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$ for which $W + W \subset V$.

There is a balanced $U_0 \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ such that $U_0 \subset U$ and $f(U_0) \subset W$. Since $\lim_{t\to 0}\gamma(t) = 0$, there is a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $|\gamma(\frac{1}{p})| < 1$ and $\gamma(\frac{1}{p})f(x) \in W$. If $z \in x + \frac{1}{p}U_0$, then $p(z-x) \in U_0 \subset U$ and $f(z) - f(x) = f(x+z-x) - f(x) = f[x + \frac{1}{p}p(z-x)] - f(x) = rf(x) + sf[p(z-x)] - f(x) = (r-1)f(x) + sf[p(z-x)]$, where $|r-1| \le |\gamma(\frac{1}{p})| < 1$ and $|s| \le |\gamma(\frac{1}{p})| < 1$.

If $\gamma(\frac{1}{p}) = 0$ then $r-1 = s = 0$ so $f(z) - f(x) = 0 \in V$. If $\gamma(\frac{1}{p}) \neq 0$, then $(r-1)f(x) = \frac{r-1}{\gamma(1/p)}\gamma(1/p)f(x) \in \frac{r-1}{\gamma(1/p)}W \subset W$ and $sf[p(z-x)] \in sf(U_0) \subset sW \subset W$. So $f(z)-f(x) \in W+W \subset V$. Thus, $\frac{1}{p}U_0 \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ and $f(x+\frac{1}{p}U_0) \subset f(x)+V$, i.e., f is continuous at x. $\square$

Recall that $C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]} = \big\{f \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C}) : f \text{ is continuous}\big\}$ is the family of demi-distributions, and $C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ is a large extension of the family $\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)(= C_0^\infty(\Omega)')$ of usual distributions.

Theorem 3.6 *Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ such that $supp\, f$ is compact. Then there is a $V \in \mathcal{N}(C^\infty(\Omega))$ such that the canonical extension $\widetilde{f} \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ and $supp\, \widetilde{f} = supp\, f$.*

Proof. Since $supp\, f$ is compact, $\mathcal{S}(f) = C^\infty(\Omega)$ and the canonical extension $\widetilde{f}$ of f is defined on $C^\infty(\Omega)$. Pick a $\mathcal{X} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{X} = 1$ in a neighborhood of $supp\, f$. By Lemma 3.2 and Def. 3.2, $\widetilde{f}(\xi) = f(\mathcal{X}\xi)$, $\forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.

By Lemma 3.4, $V = \big\{\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega) : \mathcal{X}\xi \in U\big\} \in \mathcal{N}(C^\infty(\Omega))$. If $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\eta \in V$ and $|t| \leq 1$, then $\widetilde{f}(\xi+t\eta) = f(\mathcal{X}\xi+t\mathcal{X}\eta) = f(\mathcal{X}\xi)+sf(\mathcal{X}\eta) = \widetilde{f}(\xi)+s\widetilde{f}(\eta)$ where $|s| \leq |\gamma(t)|$. Thus $\widetilde{f} \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,V}(C^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$.

Let $\xi_v \to 0$ in $C^\infty(\Omega)$. By Lemma 3.4, $\mathcal{X}\xi_v \to 0$ in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and so $\widetilde{f}(\xi_v) = f(\mathcal{X}\xi_v) \to f(0) = 0 = \widetilde{f}(0)$. This shows that $\widetilde{f}$ is continuous at $0 \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ since $C^\infty(\Omega)$ is a Fréchet space. Thus $\widetilde{f}$ is continuous by Lemma 3.5.

Let $x \in \Omega\backslash supp\, f$. There is an open $N_x \subset \Omega\backslash supp\, f$ such that $x \in N_x$ and $f(\eta) = 0$, $\forall\, \eta \in C_0^\infty(N_x)$. If $\xi \in C^\infty(N_x)$, then $supp\,(\mathcal{X}\xi) \subset supp\,\xi \subset N_x$ so $\widetilde{f}(\xi) = f(\mathcal{X}\xi) = 0$. Thus, $x \notin supp\, \widetilde{f}$ and so $supp\, \widetilde{f} \subset supp\, f$. Conversely, if $x \in \Omega\backslash supp\, \widetilde{f}$ then there is an open $N_x \subset supp\, \widetilde{f}$ such that $\widetilde{f}(\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in C^\infty(N_x)$ so $f(\eta) = \widetilde{f}(\eta) = 0$, $\forall\, \eta \in C_0^\infty(N_x)$. Then $x \notin supp\, f$ and so $supp\, f \subset supp\, \widetilde{f}$. $\square$

Theorem 3.7 *Let $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ and define $f_0 : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}$ by $f_0(\xi) = f(\xi)$ for $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Then $U = \big\{\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) : \eta \in V\big\} \in \mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))$ and $f_0 \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$.*

Proof. Let $I : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to C^\infty(\Omega)$, $I(\xi) = \xi$ for $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Then I is a continuous linear operator. Hence $U = I^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))$.

Let $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $\eta \in U$ and $|t| \leq 1$. Then $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\eta = I(\eta) \in V$ so $f_0(\xi + t\eta) = f(\xi + t\eta) = f(\xi) + sf(\eta) = f_0(\xi) + sf_0(\eta)$ where $|s| \leq |\gamma(t)|$. Thus $f_0 \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$.

If $(\xi_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Delta}$ is a net in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\xi_\lambda \to \xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Then $\xi_\lambda = I(\xi_\lambda) \to I(\xi) = \xi$ in $C^\infty(\Omega)$ and so $f_0(\xi_\lambda) = f(\xi_\lambda) \to f(\xi) = f_0(\xi)$. This shows that $f_0 : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, i.e., $f_0 \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$. $\square$

4 Demi-distributions with Compact Support

Definition 4.1 *Let $f \in \mathscr{L}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$ (resp., $\mathscr{L}_{\gamma,V}(C^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$) and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If for every compact $K \subset \Omega$ there is a $C > 0$ such that*

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} \sup_{x\in K} \big|\partial^\alpha \xi(x)\big|,\ \forall\, \xi \in C_0^\infty(K)\ (\text{resp., } \xi \in C^\infty(K)), \tag{1.1}$$

then we say that f is of order $\leq k$.

Let $M \geq 1$ and $\gamma(t) = Mt,\ \forall t \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\gamma \in C(0)$ and for every $U \in \mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))$ the family of demi-distributions $C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ is a very large extension of $\mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ $(= C_0^\infty(\Omega)')$, the family of usual distributions (see [2, Th. 1.1, Th. 2.1]; [1, Th. 1.5, Cor. 1.3]). By Th. 3.6, if $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ has compact support, then f has an extension $\widetilde{f} \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ and, conversely, every $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ has the restriction $f|_{C_0^\infty(\Omega)} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$, where the relations between U and V are very simple.

For $C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ we have a very nice result as follows.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $M \geq 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt,\ \forall t \in \mathbb{C},\ V \in \mathcal{N}(C^\infty(\Omega))$. Then for every $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ there exist compact $L \subset \Omega$, $C > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that*

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_L \big|\partial^\alpha \xi\big|, \quad \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega). \tag{4.1}$$

Thus, $\operatorname{supp} f$ is compact, the condition (1.1) holds for f, and f is of order $\leq k$.

Proof. Let $P = \big\{\|\cdot\|_{K,k} : K$ is a compact subset of $\Omega,\ k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\big\}$. The topology of $C^\infty(\Omega)$ is just given by the seminorm family P.

There exist $\|\cdot\|_1, \cdots, \|\cdot\|_p \in P$ and $\varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_p \in (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{p} \big\{\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega) : \|\xi\|_j \leq \varepsilon_j\big\} \subset V.$$

Since f is continuous and $f(0) = 0$, there exist $\|\cdot\|_{p+1}, \cdots, \|\cdot\|_m \in P$ and $\varepsilon_{p+1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_m \in (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| < 1, \quad \forall\, \xi \in \bigcap_{j=p+1}^{m} \big\{\eta \in C^\infty(\Omega) : \|\eta\|_j \leq \varepsilon_j\big\}.$$

Say that $\|\cdot\|_j = \|\cdot\|_{K_j,k_j},\ j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, and $\theta = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \varepsilon_j$. Then $\theta > 0$. Letting $L = \bigcup_{j=1}^m K_j$, $k = \sum_{j=1}^m k_j$ and, simply, $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L,k}$, L is compact and $\|\cdot\| \in P$.

If $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|\xi\| \leq \theta$, then

$$\|\xi\|_j = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k_j} \sup_{K_j} |\partial^\alpha \xi| \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_L |\partial^\alpha \xi| = \|\xi\| \leq \theta \leq \varepsilon_j,\ j = 1, 2, \cdots, m.$$

Thus $W = \big\{\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega) : \|\xi\| \leq \theta\big\} \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^m \big\{\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega) : \|\xi\|_j \leq \varepsilon_j\big\}$ and so

$$W \subset V, \quad \big|f(\xi)\big| < 1, \quad \forall\, \xi \in W.$$

If $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|\xi\| = 0$, then $\|p\xi\| = p\|\xi\| = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ so $p\xi \in W \subset V$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|f(\xi)| = |f(\frac{1}{p}p\xi)| = |s_p f(p\xi)| \leq |s_p| \leq |\gamma(\frac{1}{p})| = M\frac{1}{p} \to 0$ as $p \to +\infty$. Thus, $|f(\xi)| = 0 \leq \frac{M}{\theta}\|\xi\|$.

Let $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\|\xi\| > 0$. Then $0 < \frac{\|\xi\|}{p\theta} \leq 1$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$\|\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi\| = \theta$, $\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi \in W \subset V$ *so* $|f(\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi)| < 1$. *Hence*

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|f(\xi)\big| &= \Big|f\big(p\frac{\|\xi\|}{p\theta}\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi\big)\Big| = \Big|f\big[(p-1)\frac{\|\xi\|}{p\theta}\big(\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi\big) + \frac{\|\xi\|}{p\theta}\big(\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi\big)\big]\Big| \\
&= \Big|f\big[(p-1)\frac{\|\xi\|}{p\theta}\big(\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi\big)\big] + s_1 f\big(\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi\big)\Big| \\
&\qquad \cdots \\
&= \Big|f\big[\frac{\|\xi\|}{p\theta}\big(\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi\big)\big] + s_{p-1}f\big(\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi\big) + \cdots + s_1 f\big(\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi\big)\Big| \\
&= \Big|\sum_{j=1}^{p} s_j f\big(\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi\big)\Big| \\
&= \Big|\sum_{j=1}^{p} s_j\Big|\Big|f\big(\frac{\theta}{\|\xi\|}\xi\big)\Big| \\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^{p}|s_j| \le \sum_{j=1}^{p}\big|\gamma\big(\frac{\|\xi\|}{p\theta}\big)\big| = p\big|\gamma\big(\frac{\|\xi\|}{p\theta}\big)\big| = pM\frac{\|\xi\|}{p\theta} = \frac{M}{\theta}\|\xi\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| \le \frac{M}{\theta}\|\xi\| = \frac{M}{\theta}\sum_{|\alpha|\le k}\sup_{L}\big|\partial^\alpha\xi\big|, \quad \forall\,\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega).$$

If $x \in \Omega\backslash L$, *then there is an* $\varepsilon > 0$ *such that* $N_x = \big\{y \in \Omega : |y-x| = \sqrt{(y_1-x_1)^2+\cdots+(y_n-x_n)^2} \le \varepsilon\big\} \subset \Omega\backslash L$ *and* $|f(\xi)| \le \frac{M}{\theta}\sum_{|\alpha|\le k}\sup_L|\partial^\alpha\xi| = 0$ *for all* $\xi \in C^\infty(N_x)$. *Thus supp* $f \subset L$ *and so supp* f *is compact.*

If K *is a compact subset of* Ω, *then for every* $\xi \in C^\infty(K)$ *we have that*

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| \le \frac{M}{\theta}\sum_{|\alpha|\le k}\sup_{L}\big|\partial^\alpha\xi\big| = \frac{M}{\theta}\sum_{|\alpha|\le k}\sup_{L\cap K}\big|\partial^\alpha\xi\big| \le \frac{M}{\theta}\sum_{|\alpha|\le k}\sup_{K}\big|\partial^\alpha\xi\big|,$$

i.e., the condition (1.1) holds for f *and* f *is of order* $\le k$. □

Now we can obtain many important facts by the help of Th. 4.1.

Theorem 4.2 $M \ge 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $U \in \mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))$. *If* $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ *has compact support, then there exist compact* $L \subset \Omega$, $C > 0$ *and* $k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ *such that*

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| \le C\sum_{|\alpha|\le k}\sup_{L}\big|\partial^\alpha\xi\big|, \quad \forall\,\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \tag{4.1}'$$

Thus, the condition (1.1) holds for f, *and* f *is of order* $\le k$.

Proof. By Th. 3.6 there is a $V \in \mathcal{N}(C^\infty(\Omega))$ *such that the canonical extension* $\widetilde{f} \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$. *By Th. 4.1, there exist compact* $L \subset \Omega$, $C > 0$ *and* $k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ *such that*

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| = \big|\widetilde{f}(\xi)\big| \le C\sum_{|\alpha|\le k}\sup_{L}\big|\partial^\alpha\xi\big|, \quad \forall\,\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

As in the proof of Th. 4.1, (1.1) holds for f, *and* f *is of order* $\le k$. □

For $\gamma(t) = et \in C(0)$ and $U = \{\xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : \sup_{0\le x\le a} |\xi(x)| \le 1\}$ where $a > 0$, there exists demi-distribution $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})^{(\gamma,U)}$ such that $supp\, f = \{x_0\}$ is compact but the condition (1.1) fails to hold for f (see Exam. 2.2). However, Th.4.2 shows that if $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ has compact support then not only (1.1) holds for f but the more strong $(4.1)'$ holds for f. Thus, the most important properties of demi-distributions heavily depend on the splitting degree of demi-distributions.

Theorem 4.3 *$M \ge 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ and $f_0(\xi) = f(\xi)$ for $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, then $f_0 \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ where $U = V \cap C_0^\infty(\Omega) \in \mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))$, and there exist compact $L \subset \Omega$, $C > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that*

$$\big|f_0(\xi)\big| \le C \sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \sup_L \big|\partial^\alpha \xi\big|, \quad \forall\, \xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$$

so $supp\, f_0$ is compact, $supp\, f_0 = supp\, f$ and f_0 is of order $\le k$. Moreover, $f = \widetilde{f_0}$, the canonical extension of f_0.

Proof. By Th. 3.7 and Th. 4.1, we only need to show $\widetilde{f_0} = f$.

By Th. 4.1, there exist compact $L \subset \Omega$, $C > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| \le C \sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \sup_L \big|\partial^\alpha \xi\big|, \quad \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega).$$

If $x \in \Omega\backslash supp\, f$ then there is an open $N_x \subset \Omega\backslash supp\, f$ such that $f(\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in C^\infty(N_x)$ and so $f_0(\xi) = f(\xi) = 0$, $\forall \xi \in C_0^\infty(N_x) \subset C^\infty(N_x)$, that is, $x \notin supp\, f_0$. Thus $supp\, f_0 \subset supp\, f \subset L$.

Pick a $\mathcal{X} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{X} = 1$ in a neighborhood of L. Then by Th. 3.4 and Def. 3.2 we have that $\widetilde{f_0}(\xi) = f_0(\mathcal{X}\xi) = f(\mathcal{X}\xi)$, $\forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Let $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and pick a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi \in V$. Since $1 - \mathcal{X} = 0$ in a neighborhood of L, $\partial^\alpha[\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi](x) = 0$ for all $x \in L$ and all multi-index α. Then

$$\big|f\big[\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big]\big| \le C \sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \sup_L \big|\partial^\alpha\big[\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big]\big| = 0, \text{ i.e., } f\big[\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big] = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\xi) =& f\big[\mathcal{X}\xi + (1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big] = f\big[\mathcal{X}\xi + (p-1)\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi + \frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big] \\ &= f\big[\mathcal{X}\xi + (p-1)\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big] + sf\big[\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big] \\ &= f\big[\mathcal{X}\xi + (p-1)\frac{1}{p}(1-\mathcal{X})\xi\big] \\ &\qquad \cdots \\ &= f(\mathcal{X}\xi) = f_0(\mathcal{X}\xi) = \widetilde{f_0}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f = \widetilde{f_0}$ and $supp\, f_0 = supp\, \widetilde{f_0} = supp\, f$ by Th. 3.6. □

Corollary 4.1 *$M \ge 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$, $\forall\, t \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$\bigcup_{V\in\mathcal{N}(C^\infty(\Omega))} C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]} = \bigcup_{U\in\mathcal{N}(C_0^\infty(\Omega))} \{\widetilde{f} : f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]},\ supp\, f \text{ is compact}\}.$$

Now we can improve Th. 2.4 as follows.

Corollary 4.2 *$M \geq 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $f \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,U}(C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$ for which $\operatorname{supp} f$ is compact. Then f is continuous if and only if the condition (1.1) holds for f.*

Proof. If f is continuous, then $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ and Th. 4.2 shows that (1.1) holds for f.

Conversely, suppose that (1.1) holds for f. Since $\operatorname{supp} f$ is compact, there is a $\mathcal{X} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{X} = 1$ in a neighborhood of $\operatorname{supp} f$. Then $L = \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{X}$ is compact. By Lemma 3.4, $V = \big\{\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega) : \mathcal{X}\xi \in U\big\} \in \mathcal{N}(C^\infty(\Omega))$ and the canonical extension $\widetilde{f} \in \mathscr{K}_{\gamma,V}(C^\infty(\Omega), \mathbb{C})$. In fact, for $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\eta \in V$ and $|t| \leq 1$, $\widetilde{f}(\xi + t\eta) = f(\mathcal{X}\xi + t\mathcal{X}\eta) = f(\mathcal{X}\xi) + sf(\mathcal{X}\eta) = \widetilde{f}(\xi) + s\widetilde{f}(\eta)$ where $|s| \leq |\gamma(t)|$.

Since (1.1) holds for f, f is sequentially continuous by Th. 2.3. If $\xi_v \to 0$ in $C^\infty(\Omega)$ then $\mathcal{X}\xi_v \to 0$ in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ by Lemma 3.4, and $\widetilde{f}(\xi_v) = f(\mathcal{X}\xi_v) \to f(0) = 0 = \widetilde{f}(0)$, that is, $\widetilde{f}$ is continuous at $0 \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ since $C^\infty(\Omega)$ is a Fréchet space. Then $\widetilde{f}$ is continuous by Lemma 3.5, $\widetilde{f} \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$. By Th. 3.7, f is continuous. □

For $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let K and F be nonempty subsets of Ω. If K is compact and F is closed in Ω and $K \cap F = \emptyset$, then there exist $x_0 \in K$ and $y_0 \in F$ such that $\inf_{x\in K, y\in F} |x - y| = |x_0 - y_0| > 0$.*

Proof. Let $d = \inf_{x\in K, y\in F} |x - y|$. There exist sequences $\{x_v\} \subset K$ and $\{y_v\} \subset F$ such that $d = \lim_v |x_v - y_v|$. Since K is compact and $\{x_v - y_v\}$ is bounded, we may assume that $x_v \to x_0 \in K$ and $x_v - y_v \to b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $y_v = y_v - x_v + x_v \to x_0 - b = y_0$ and $|x_v - y_v| \to |x_0 - y_0|$, $d = |x_0 - y_0|$.

If $y_0 \in F$ then $y_0 \notin K$ so $y_0 \neq x_0$ and $d = |x_0 - y_0| > 0$. If $y_0 \notin F$ then $y_0 \notin \Omega$ so $y_0 \neq x_0$ and $d = |x_0 - y_0| > 0$. □

We have a fact which is different from Lemma 2.2 as follows.

Theorem 4.4 *$M \geq 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} f \cap \operatorname{supp} \xi = \emptyset$, then $f(\xi) = 0$.*

Proof. By Th. 4.1, $\operatorname{supp} f$ is compact. Then $\inf\big\{|x - y| : x \in \operatorname{supp} f,\ y \in \operatorname{supp} \xi\big\} = d > 0$ by Lemma 4.1.

Let $f_0(\xi) = f(\xi)$ for $\xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $U = V \cap C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. By Th. 4.3, $f_0 \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,U]}$ and the canonical extension $\widetilde{f_0} = f$, $\operatorname{supp} f_0 = \operatorname{supp} f$. Since $d > 0$, for $\varepsilon \in (0, d/3)$ there is a $\mathcal{X} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $0 \leq \mathcal{X} \leq 1$ and

$$\mathcal{X} = 1 \text{ in } G = \big\{y \in \Omega : |y - x| < \varepsilon \text{ for some } x \in \operatorname{supp} f_0\big\},$$

$$\mathcal{X} = 0 \text{ outside } B_{3\varepsilon} = \big\{y \in \Omega : |y - x| \leq 3\varepsilon \text{ for some } x \in \operatorname{supp} f_0\big\}.$$

Then $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{X} \subset B_{3\varepsilon}$, $\operatorname{supp} f_0 \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{X}\xi) \subset \operatorname{supp} f \cap \operatorname{supp} \xi = \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{supp}[(1-\mathcal{X})\xi] \cap \operatorname{supp} f_0 \subset (\Omega \backslash G) \cap \operatorname{supp} f_0 = \emptyset$. Hence $\xi = \mathcal{X}\xi + (1 - \mathcal{X})\xi$ is a f_0-decomposition of ξ and $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{X}\xi) \cap \operatorname{supp} f_0 = \emptyset$. Then $f(\xi) = \widetilde{f_0}(\xi) = f_0(\mathcal{X}\xi) = 0$ by Lemma 2.2. □

Theorem 4.5 *$M \geq 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ is of order $\leq k$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\partial^\alpha \xi(x) = 0$ when $|\alpha| \leq k$ and $x \in \operatorname{supp} f$, then $f(\xi) = 0$.*

Proof. By Th. 4.1, $\operatorname{supp} f$ is compact so for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $B_\varepsilon = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for some } x \in \operatorname{supp} f\}$ is compact and contained in Ω. There is a $\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with $0 \leq \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon \leq 1$ such that $\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon = 1$ in a neighborhood of $\operatorname{supp} f$ and $\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon = 0$ outside B_ε [4, p.46]. Moreover, $|\partial^\alpha \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon| \leq C_\alpha \varepsilon^{-|\alpha|}$ where C_α is independent of ε [4, p.5] so there is a $C > 0$ such that $|\partial^\alpha \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon^{-|\alpha|}$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$ and all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $B_{\varepsilon_0} \subset \Omega$.

For $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ pick a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\frac{1}{p}(1 - \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon)\xi \in V$. Since $\operatorname{supp}[\frac{1}{p}(1 - \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon)\xi] \cap \operatorname{supp} f = \emptyset$, $f[\frac{1}{p}(1 - \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon)\xi] = 0$ by Th. 4.4. Then

$$f(\xi) = f\big[\xi\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon + p\frac{1}{p}(1 - \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon)\xi\big] = f\big[\xi\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon + (p-1)\frac{1}{p}(1 - \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon)\xi\big] = \cdots = f(\xi\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon).$$

Since f is of order $\leq k$, there is an $A > 0$ such that

$$\big|f(\eta)\big| \leq A \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{B_\varepsilon} \big|\partial^\alpha \eta\big|, \quad \forall\, \eta \in C^\infty(B_\varepsilon).$$

If $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ and $\eta \in C^\infty(B_{\varepsilon'})$, then $\eta \in C^\infty(B_\varepsilon)$ and $\sup_{B'_\varepsilon} |\partial^\alpha \eta| = \sup_{B_\varepsilon} |\partial^\alpha \eta|$ for all α so $|f(\eta)| \leq A \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{B_\varepsilon} |\partial^\alpha \eta| = A \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{B'_\varepsilon} |\partial^\alpha \eta|$. Hence the constant A is available for all $\varepsilon' \in (0, \varepsilon]$. Since $\xi\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(B_\varepsilon) = C^\infty(B_\varepsilon)$, it follows from the Leibniz formula that

$$\begin{aligned}\big|f(\xi)\big| = \big|f(\xi\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon)\big| &\leq A \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{B_\varepsilon} \big|\partial^\alpha(\xi\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon)\big| \leq A_1 \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq k} \sup_{B_\varepsilon} \big|\partial^\alpha \xi\big|\big|\partial^\beta \mathcal{X}_\varepsilon\big| \\ &\leq A_1 C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \varepsilon^{|\alpha|-k} \sup_{B_\varepsilon} \big|\partial^\alpha \xi\big|,\end{aligned}$$

where both A_1 and C are independent of ε. Observing $\partial^\alpha \xi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \operatorname{supp} f$ and $|\alpha| \leq k$, we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{|\alpha|-k} \sup_{B_\varepsilon} |\partial^\alpha \xi| = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$ [4, p.46]. Thus $f(\xi) = 0$. $\square$

Notice that in the notation $(\bullet - a)^\alpha$ the symbol $\bullet$ denotes the variable, that is, $(\bullet - a)^\alpha$ is a function such that $[(\bullet - a)^\alpha](x) = (x - a)^\alpha = (x_1 - a_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (x_n - a_n)^\alpha_n$ [4, p.47].

Corollary 4.3 *$M \geq 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ is of order k and $\operatorname{supp} f = \{y\}$, a singleton, then we have*

$$f(\xi) = f\big[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \partial^\alpha \xi(y)(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)\big], \quad \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega),$$

where for $0 = (0, \cdots, 0)$ the term $\alpha^0 \xi(y)(\bullet - y)^0/(0!) = \xi(y)$ is the function $\eta \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ for which $\eta(x) = \xi(y)$, $\forall\, x \in \Omega$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. We expand ξ in a Taylor series

$$\xi(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \partial^\alpha \xi(y)(x - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!) + \psi(x).$$

Pick an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *for which* $\frac{1}{m}\psi \in V$. *Since* $\partial^\alpha(\frac{1}{m}\psi)(y) = \frac{1}{m}\partial^\alpha\psi(y) = 0$ *when* $|\alpha| \le k$, $f(\frac{1}{m}\psi) = 0$ *by Th. 4.5. Hence*

$$\begin{aligned} f(\xi) &= f\big[\sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \partial^\alpha\xi(y)(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!) + (m-1)\frac{1}{m}\psi + \frac{1}{m}\psi\big] \\ &= f\big[\sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \partial^\alpha\xi(y)(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!) + (m-1)\frac{1}{m}\psi\big] \\ &\quad \cdots \\ &= f\big[\sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \partial^\alpha\xi(y)(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)\big]. \ \square \end{aligned}$$

Even the simplest case is somewhat of an interesting observation.

Example 4.1 *Let* $y \in \Omega$ *and* $f(\xi) = \sin|\xi(y)|$ *for* $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. *Clearly,* $V = \big\{\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega) : |\xi(y)| \le 1\big\} \in \mathcal{N}(C^\infty(\Omega))$. *If* $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\eta \in V$ *and* $|t| \le 1$, *then* $f(\xi + t\eta) = \sin|\xi(y) + t\eta(y)| = \sin(|\xi(y)| + s|\eta(y)|) = \sin|\xi(y)| + \theta\sin|\eta(y)| = f(\xi) + \theta f(\eta)$ *where* $|\theta| \le \frac{\pi}{2}|s| \le \frac{\pi}{2}|t|$. *Letting* $\gamma(t) = \frac{\pi}{2}t$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ *and* $\operatorname{supp} f = \{y\}$. *For every compact* $K \subset \Omega$ *and* $\xi \in C^\infty(K) = C_0^\infty(K)$ *we have that*

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| = \big|\sin|\xi(y)|\big| = 0 \le \sup_K \big|\partial^0\xi\big| \ \textit{when}\ y \notin K,$$

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| = \big|\sin|\xi(y)|\big| \le \big|\xi(y)\big| \le \sup_K|\xi| = \sup_K\big|\partial^0\xi\big| \ \textit{when}\ y \in K.$$

Thus, f *is of order* 0.

Corollary 4.4 $M \ge 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{C}$. *If* $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ *is of order* 0 *and* $\operatorname{supp} f = \{y\}$, *then*

$$f(\xi) = f\big(\xi(y)\big), \quad \forall\,\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega),$$

where $\xi(y)$ *is a function in* $C^\infty(\Omega)$ *such that* $\xi(y)(x) = \xi(y),\ \forall\, x \in \Omega$.

In [2] we gave a very clear-cut characterization of demi-linear functions in $\mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ [2, Th. 1.1]. We have a similar clear-cut description for demi-linear functions in $\mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ as follows.

Lemma 4.2 *Let* $g : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ *be a function such that* $g(0) = 0$ *and* $g'(z_0) \ne 0$ *for some* $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. *Let* $\varepsilon > 0$. *Then* $g \in \mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ *for some* $M \ge 1$ *if and only if*

(1) g *is continuous,*

(2) $g(z) \ne 0$ *for* $0 < |z| \le \varepsilon$,

(3) $\inf_{0<|u|\le\varepsilon}\big|\frac{g(u)}{u}\big| > 0$,

(4) $\sup_{z,u\in\mathbb{C},\,0<|u|\le\varepsilon}\big|\frac{g(z+u)-g(z)}{u}\big| < +\infty$.

Proof. Suppose that $g \in \mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ *where* $M \ge 1$. *If* $z_k \to z$ *in* $\mathbb{C}$, *then for sufficiently large* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *we have that* $|\frac{z_k - z}{\varepsilon}| < 1$ *and* $g(z_k) = g(z + \frac{z_k - z}{\varepsilon}\varepsilon) = g(z) + s_k g(\varepsilon)$ *where* $|s_k| \le M|\frac{z_k - z}{\varepsilon}| \to 0$ *so* $g(z_k) \to g(z)$, *that is,* g *is continuous. Assume that* $g(u) = 0$ *for some* $0 < |u| \le \varepsilon$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $z \ne 0$. *Then* $|\frac{z}{ku}| < 1$ *for some* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $g(z) = g(k\frac{z}{ku}u) = g[(k-1)\frac{z}{ku}u + \frac{z}{ku}u] = g[(k-1)\frac{z}{ku}u] + s_1 g(u) = g[(k-1)\frac{z}{ku}u] = \cdots = g(\frac{z}{ku}u) = s_k g(u) = 0$. *Thus* $g = 0$ *but* $g'(z_0) \ne 0$. *This contradiction shows that (2) holds for* g.

If $\inf_{0<|u|\le\varepsilon}\big|\frac{g(u)}{u}\big| = 0$, *then* $\frac{g(u_k)}{u_k}\to 0$ *for some* $\{u_k\}\subset\big\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|\le\varepsilon\big\}\backslash\{0\}$. *May assume that* $u_k\to u_0$. *If* $u_0\neq 0$, *then* $|\frac{g(u_k)}{u_k}|\to|\frac{g(u_0)}{u_0}|>0$ *by (1) and (2), a contradiction. So* $u_0=0$, $u_k\to 0$. *Then* $g(z_0+u_k)=g(z_0)+s_kg(u_k)$ *where* $|s_k|\le M|1|=M$, *and* $0\neq g'(z_0)=\lim_k\frac{g(z_0+u_k)-g(z_0)}{u_k}=\lim_k\frac{s_kg(u_k)}{u_k}=0$. *This contradiction shows that (3) holds for* g.

Let $z,u\in\mathbb{C}$, $0<|u|\le\varepsilon$. *Since* $g(u)=g\big(\frac{u}{\varepsilon}\varepsilon\big)=sg(\varepsilon)$ *where* $|s|\le M\big|\frac{u}{\varepsilon}\big|=\frac{M}{\varepsilon}|u|$ *and* $g(z+u)=g(z)+s_1g(u)$ *where* $|s_1|\le M|1|=M$, $|g(u)|\le\frac{M}{\varepsilon}|g(\varepsilon)u|$ *and* $\Big|\frac{g(z+u)-g(z)}{u}\Big|=\Big|\frac{s_1g(u)}{u}\Big|\le\frac{M^2}{\varepsilon}|g(\varepsilon)|$. *Thus, (4) holds for* g.

Conversely, assume that (1), (2), (3) and (4) hold for g. *Since* $g(0)=0$ *and* $\inf_{0<|u|\le\varepsilon}\big|\frac{g(u)}{u}\big|=\inf_{0<|u|\le\varepsilon}\big|\frac{g(0+u)-g(0)}{u}\big|\le\sup_{0<|u|\le\varepsilon}\big|\frac{g(0+u)-g(0)}{u}\big|$,

$$M=\Big[\sup_{z,u\in\mathbb{C},\,0<|u|\le\varepsilon}\big|\frac{g(z+u)-g(z)}{u}\big|\Big]\Big/\inf_{0<|u|\le\varepsilon}\big|\frac{g(u)}{u}\big|\ge 1.$$

Let $z,u,t\in\mathbb{C}$, $0<|u|\le\varepsilon$, $0<|t|\le 1$. *Then* $g(u)\neq 0$ *by (2) and* $g(z+tu)=g(z)+g(z+tu)-g(z)=g(z)+\Big[\frac{g(z+tu)-g(z)}{tu}\frac{u}{g(u)}t\Big]g(u)$, *where*

$$\Big|\frac{g(z+tu)-g(z)}{tu}\frac{u}{g(u)}t\Big|=\Big|\frac{g(z+tu)-g(z)}{tu}\Big/\frac{g(u)}{u}\Big||t|\le M|t|.$$

Thus, $g\in\mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$. $\square$

We now have the following representation theorem.

Theorem 4.6 $M\ge 1$, $\gamma(t)=Mt$ *for* $t\in\mathbb{C}$. *If* $f\in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ *is of order* 0 *and* $\operatorname{supp} f=\{y\}$, *then there exist* $\varepsilon>0$ *and* $g\in\mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ *such that*

$$f(\xi)=g\big(\xi(y)\big),\quad\forall\,\xi\in C^\infty(\Omega). \tag{4.2}$$

Conversely, every $\varepsilon>0$ *and* $g\in\mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ *give a* $V\in\mathcal{N}(C^\infty(\Omega))$ *and a* $f\in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ *through (4.2) such that* f *is of order* 0 *and* $\operatorname{supp} f=\{y\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $f\in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ *is of order* 0 *and* $\operatorname{supp} f=\{y\}$. *For* $z\in\mathbb{C}$ *let* $\zeta_z(x)=z$ *for all* $x\in\Omega$. *Then* $\zeta_z\in C^\infty(\Omega)$ *and* $\lim_{z\to 0}\zeta_z=0$ *in* $C^\infty(\Omega)$. *Hence there is an* $\varepsilon>0$ *such that* $\zeta_z\in V$ *when* $|z|\le\varepsilon$.

Define $g:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ *by* $g(z)=f(\zeta_z)$, $\forall\,z\in\mathbb{C}$. *Then* $g(0)=f(\zeta_0)=f(0)=0$. *For* $z,u,t\in\mathbb{C}$ *with* $|u|\le\varepsilon$ *and* $|t|\le 1$, $\zeta_u\in V$ *and*

$$g(z+tu)=f(\zeta_{z+tu})=f(\zeta_z+\zeta_{tu})=f(\zeta_z+t\zeta_u)=f(\zeta_z)+sf(\zeta_u)=g(z)+sg(u),$$

where $|s|\le|\gamma(t)|=M|t|$. *Thus* $g\in\mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$. *By Cor. 4.4 we have*

$$f(\xi)=f\big(\xi(y)\big)=f\big(\zeta_{\xi(y)}\big)=g\big(\xi(y)\big),\quad\forall\,\xi\in C^\infty(\Omega).$$

Conversely, let $\varepsilon>0$, $g\in\mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ *and* $y\in\Omega$. *Since the Dirac measure* $\delta_y:C^\infty(\Omega)\to\mathbb{C}$, $\delta_y(\xi)=\xi(y)$ *is continuous and* $\delta_y(0)=0$, $V=\big\{\xi\in C^\infty(\Omega):|\xi(y)|\le\varepsilon\big\}=\delta_y^{-1}([-\varepsilon,\varepsilon])\in\mathcal{N}(C^\infty(\Omega))$. *Then define* $f:C^\infty(\Omega)\to\mathbb{C}$ *by* $f(\xi)=g(\xi(y))$, $\xi\in C^\infty(\Omega)$. *For* $\xi\in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\eta\in V$ *and* $|t|\le 1$, $|\eta(y)|\le\varepsilon$ *and* $f(\xi+t\eta)=g((\xi+t\eta)(y))=g(\xi(y)+t\eta(y))=g(\xi(y))+sg(\eta(y))=f(\xi)+sf(\eta)$ *where* $|s|\le|\gamma(t)|=M|t|$. *Thus* $f\in\mathscr{K}_{\gamma,V}(C^\infty(\Omega),\mathbb{C})$ *and* f *is continuous because*

$\xi_\lambda \to \xi$ *in* $C^\infty(\Omega)$ *implies* $\xi_\lambda(y) \to \xi(y)$ *and* $f(\xi_\lambda) = g(\xi_\lambda(y)) \to g(\xi(y)) = f(\xi)$ *by Lemma 4.2, that is,* $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$.

Let $y_0 \in \Omega$, $y_0 \neq y$. *Pick a* $\theta > 0$ *such that* $K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y_0| \leq \theta\} \subset \Omega\backslash\{y\}$. *Then for every* $\xi \in C^\infty(K)$ *we have* $\xi(y) = 0$ *and* $f(\xi) = g(\xi(y)) = g(0) = 0$. *This shows that* $y_0 \notin supp\, f$, $supp\, f \subset \{y\}$. *If* G *is an open set in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *such that* $y \in G \subset \Omega$, *then there is a* $\mathcal{X} \in C_0^\infty(G)$ *such that* $0 < |\mathcal{X}(y)| \leq \varepsilon$. *By Lemma 4.2,* $f(\mathcal{X}) = g(\mathcal{X}(y)) \neq 0$ *so* $y \in supp\, f$ *and* $supp\, f = \{y\}$.

Let K *be a compact subset of* Ω. *If* $y \in K$ *and* $\xi \in C^\infty(K)$ *then there is a* $p \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $|\frac{\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}| < 1$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|f(\xi)\big| &= \big|g\big(\xi(y)\big)\big| = \big|g\big(p\frac{\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}\varepsilon\big)\big| = \big|g\big[(p-1)\frac{\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}\varepsilon + \frac{\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}\varepsilon\big]\big| \\
&= \big|g\big[(p-1)\frac{\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}\varepsilon\big] + s_1 g(\varepsilon)\big| \\
&\quad \cdots \\
&= \big|g(\frac{\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}\varepsilon) + s_{p-1}g(\varepsilon) + \cdots + s_1 g(\varepsilon)\big| \\
&= \big|s_p g(\varepsilon) + s_{p-1}g(\varepsilon) + \cdots + s_1 g(\varepsilon)\big| = \big|\sum_{v=1}^{p} s_v\big|\big|g(\varepsilon)\big|,
\end{aligned}$$

where each $|s_v| \leq |\gamma(\frac{\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon})| = M\frac{|\xi(y)|}{p\varepsilon}$ *so* $|\sum_{v=1}^{p} s_v| \leq \sum_{v=1}^{p}|s_v| \leq pM\frac{|\xi(y)|}{p\varepsilon} = \frac{M}{\varepsilon}|\xi(y)|$. *Therefore,*

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon}\big|g(\varepsilon)\big|\big|\xi(y)\big| \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon}\big|g(\varepsilon)\big|\sup_K\big|\xi\big| = \frac{M}{\varepsilon}\big|g(\varepsilon)\big|\sup_K\big|\partial^0\xi\big|.$$

If $y \notin K$ *and* $\xi \in C^\infty(K)$ *then* $\xi(y) = 0$ *and*

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| = \big|g(\xi(y))\big| = \big|g(0)\big| = 0 \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon}\big|g(\varepsilon)\big|\sup_K\big|\partial^0\xi\big|.$$

Thus, f *is of order* 0. *Moreover, the constant* $\frac{M}{\varepsilon}|g(\varepsilon)|$ *is available for all compact* $K \subset \Omega$. $\square$

Corollary 4.5 $M \geq 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{C}$. *If* $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ *is of order* 0 *and* $supp\, f = \{y\}$, *then there exist* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and* $g \in \mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ *such that*

$$f(\xi) = a_\xi M\frac{g(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\xi(y),\ \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega),$$

where $|a_\xi| \leq 1$. *Hence,* $A = M|\frac{g(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}| > 0$ *and* $|f(\xi)| \leq A|\xi(y)|,\ \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. By Th. 4.6, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ *and* $g \in \mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ *such that*

$$f(\xi) = g\big(\xi(y)\big),\quad \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega).$$

Let $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. *Pick a* $p \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $|\frac{\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}| < 1$. *Then*

$$g\big(\xi(y)\big) = g\big(p\frac{\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}\varepsilon\big) = g\big[(p-1)\frac{\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}\varepsilon\big] + s_1 g(\varepsilon) = \cdots = \big(\sum_{v=1}^{p} s_v\big)g(\varepsilon),$$

where $|\sum_{v=1}^{p} s_v| \le \frac{M}{\varepsilon}|\xi(y)|$, *i.e.,* $|g(\xi(y))| = |\sum_{v=1}^{p} s_v||g(\varepsilon)| \le \frac{M}{\varepsilon}|g(\varepsilon)\xi(y)|$. *Hence there is an* $a_\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $|a_\xi| \le 1$ *such that* $g(\xi(y)) = a_\xi M\frac{g(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\xi(y)$.

Since supp $f = \{y\} \ne \emptyset$, $f \ne 0$ *and so* $g \ne 0$. *By Lemma 4.2,* $A = M|\frac{g(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}| > 0$. □

Corollary 4.6 $M \ge 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{C}$. *If* $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ *is of order* 0 *and supp* $f = \{y\}$, *then* f *is Lipschitz, that is, there is a* $A > 0$ *such that*

$$\big|f(\xi) - f(\eta)\big| \le A\big|\xi(y) - \eta(y)\big|, \quad \forall\, \xi, \eta \in C^\infty(\Omega),$$

$$\big|f(\xi)\big| \le A\big|\xi(y)\big|, \quad \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega).$$

Proof. By Th. 4.6, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ *and* $g \in \mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$ *such that*

$$f(\xi) = g\big(\xi(y)\big), \quad \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega).$$

Since supp $f = \{y\} \ne \emptyset$, $f \ne 0$ *and so* $g \ne 0$.

Let $z, u \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 < |u| \le \varepsilon$. *Since* $g(0) = 0$, $g(u) = g(\frac{u}{\varepsilon}\varepsilon) = g(0 + \frac{u}{\varepsilon}\varepsilon) = g(0) + sg(\varepsilon) = sg(\varepsilon)$ *where* $|s| \le M|\frac{u}{\varepsilon}| = \frac{M}{\varepsilon}|u|$ *and so* $|g(u)| = |sg(\varepsilon)| \le \frac{M}{\varepsilon}|ug(\varepsilon)|$. *Then* $g(z + u) = g(z) + s_1 g(u)$ *where* $|s_1| \le M|1| = M$ *and*

$$\big|g(z + u) - g(z)\big| = \big|s_1 g(u)\big| \le \frac{M^2}{\varepsilon}\big|g(\varepsilon)\big||u|.$$

Letting $A = \frac{M^2}{\varepsilon}|g(\varepsilon)|$ *we have that*

$$\big|g(z + u) - g(z)\big| \le A|u|, \quad \forall\, z \in \mathbb{C},\ u \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \le \varepsilon\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Let $\xi, \eta \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. *If* $\xi(y) = \eta(y)$, *then*

$$\big|f(\xi) - f(\eta)\big| = \big|g(\xi(y)) - g(\eta(y))\big| = 0 = A\big|\xi(y) - \eta(y)\big|.$$

If $\xi(y) \ne \eta(y)$, *then* $0 < \frac{1}{p}|\xi(y) - \eta(y)| < \varepsilon$ *for some* $p \in \mathbb{N}$. *Let* $u = \frac{1}{p}[\xi(y) - \eta(y)]$, *then* $\xi(y) = \eta(y) + pu$ *and*

$$f(\xi) - f(\eta) = g\big(\xi(y)\big) - g\big(\eta(y)\big) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} \Big\{g\big[\eta(y) + ku\big] - g\big[\eta(y) + (k-1)u\big]\Big\}.$$

Now it follows from (4.3) that

$$\big|f(\xi) - f(\eta)\big| \le \sum_{k=1}^{p} \Big|g\big[\eta(y) + ku\big] - g\big[\eta(y) + (k-1)u\big]\Big| \le pA|u| = A\big|\xi(y) - \eta(y)\big|. \ \square$$

For $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ let $|z| = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_m|^2}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C}^m,\mathbb{C}) = \big\{g \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{C}^m} : g(0) = 0$; for $z, u \in \mathbb{C}^m$ with $|u| \le \varepsilon$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|t| \le 1$, $g(z + tu) = g(z) + sg(u)$ where $|s| \le M|t|\big\}$. For $g \in \mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C}^m,\mathbb{C})$ and $1 \le j \le m$ define $g_j : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ by $g_j(w) = g((0, \cdots, 0, \overset{(j)}{w}, 0, \cdots, 0))$, $\forall\, w \in \mathbb{C}$, then $g_j \in \mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$.

If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\big\{$multi-index $\alpha : |\alpha| \le k\big\}$ is a finite set $\big\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_{m_k}\big\}$ which is lexicographically ordered such that $\alpha_1 = (0, \cdots, 0)$, $\alpha_2 = (0, \cdots, 0, 1)$, $\cdots$, $\alpha_{m_k} = (k, 0, \cdots, 0)$, and we can write $(z_{\alpha_1}, z_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, z_{\alpha_{m_k}}) = (z_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \le k}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m_k}$.

Theorem 4.7 *$M \geq 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ is of order k and $\operatorname{supp} f = \{y\}$, then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $g \in \mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C}^{m_k}, \mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$f(\xi) = g\Big(\big(\partial^\alpha \xi(y)\big)_{|\alpha|\leq k}\Big), \quad \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega).$$

Proof. Letting $\eta_\alpha = (\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)$ for $|\alpha| \leq k$, by Cor. 4.3 we have

$$f(\xi) = f\Big(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} \partial^\alpha \xi(y)\eta_\alpha\Big), \quad \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega).$$

Pick a $U \in \mathcal{N}(C^\infty(\Omega))$ for which $\overbrace{U + U + \cdots + U}^{(m_k)} \subset V$. There is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $u\eta_\alpha \in U$ when $u \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|u| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\alpha| \leq k$. Hence

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} u_\alpha \eta_\alpha \in \overbrace{U + U \cdots + U}^{m_k} \subset V, \quad \forall\, (u_\alpha)_{|\alpha|\leq k} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_k} \text{ with } |(u_\alpha)_{|\alpha|\leq k}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Define $g : \mathbb{C}^{m_k} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g\Big(\big(z_\alpha\big)_{|\alpha|\leq k}\Big) = f\Big(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} z_\alpha \eta_\alpha\Big), \quad \forall\, (z_\alpha)_{|\alpha|\leq k} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_k}.$$

Then for $(z_\alpha)_{|\alpha|\leq k}$, $(u_\alpha)_{|\alpha|\leq k} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_k}$ with $|(u_\alpha)_{|\alpha|\leq k}| \leq \varepsilon$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|t| \leq 1$, $\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} u_\alpha \eta_\alpha \in V$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g\Big(\big(z_\alpha\big)_{|\alpha|\leq k} + t\big(u_\alpha\big)_{|\alpha|\leq k}\Big) &= g\Big(\big(z_\alpha + tu_\alpha\big)_{|\alpha|\leq k}\Big) = f\Big(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} (z_\alpha + tu_\alpha)\eta_\alpha\Big) \\ &= f\Big(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} z_\alpha\eta_\alpha + t\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} u_\alpha\eta_\alpha\Big) \\ &= f\Big(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} z_\alpha\eta_\alpha\Big) + sf\Big(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} u_\alpha\eta_\alpha\Big) \\ &= g\Big(\big(z_\alpha\big)_{|\alpha|\leq k}\Big) + sg\Big(\big(u_\alpha\big)_{|\alpha|\leq k}\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where $|s| \leq |\gamma(t)| \leq M|t|$.

Thus, $g \in \mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C}^{m_k}, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$f(\xi) = f\Big(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} \partial^\alpha \xi(y)\eta_\alpha\Big) = g\Big(\big(\partial^\alpha \xi(y)\big)_{|\alpha|\leq k}\Big), \quad \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega). \ \square$$

Theorem 4.8 *$M \geq 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ is of order k and $\operatorname{supp} f = \{y\}$, then there exists $\big\{g_\alpha : \alpha \text{ is a multi-index, } |\alpha| \leq k\big\} \subset \mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$f\big[z(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)\big] = g_\alpha(z), \quad \forall\, |\alpha| \leq k,\ z \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$f(\xi) = M\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k} a_{\alpha,\xi}\frac{g_\alpha(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\partial^\alpha \xi(y), \quad \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega),$$

where all $|a_{\alpha,\xi}| \leq 1$.

Proof. Letting $\eta_\alpha = (\bullet - y)^\alpha/\alpha!$ *for* $|\alpha| \le k$, *by Cor. 4.3 we have*

$$f(\xi) = f\Big(\sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \partial^\alpha \xi(y)\eta_\alpha\Big), \quad \forall\, \xi \in C^\infty(\Omega).$$

There is an $\varepsilon > 0$ *such that* $u\eta_\alpha \in V$ *for all* $u \in \big\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \le \varepsilon\big\}$ *and* $|\alpha| \le k$. *We write* $\big\{\alpha : |\alpha| \le k\big\} = \big\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_m\big\}$.

Let $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ *and pick a* $p \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $|\frac{\partial^\alpha \xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}| < 1$ *when* $|\alpha| \le k$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} f(\xi) &= f\Big(\sum_{j=1}^m \partial^{\alpha_j}\xi(y)\eta_{\alpha_j}\Big) = f\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \partial^{\alpha_j}\xi(y)\eta_{\alpha_j} + p\frac{\partial^{\alpha_m}\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}\varepsilon\eta_{\alpha_m}\Big) \\ &= f\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \partial^{\alpha_j}\xi(y)\eta_{\alpha_j}\Big) + \big(\sum_{v=1}^p s_v\big) f(\varepsilon\eta_{\alpha_m}), \end{aligned}$$

where each $|s_v| \le M|\frac{\partial^{\alpha_m}\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}|$ *so* $|\sum_{v=1}^p s_v| \le pM|\frac{\partial^{\alpha_m}\xi(y)}{p\varepsilon}| = \frac{M}{\varepsilon}|\partial^{\alpha_m}\xi(y)|$.

Define $g_{\alpha_m} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ *by* $g_{\alpha_m}(z) = f(z\eta_{\alpha_m})$, $\forall\, z \in \mathbb{C}$. *For* $z, u, t \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $|u| \le \varepsilon$ *and* $|t| \le 1$, $u\eta_{\alpha_m} \in V$ *and* $g_{\alpha_m}(z + tu) = f(z\eta_{\alpha_m} + tu\eta_{\alpha_m}) = f(z\eta_{\alpha_m}) + sf(u\eta_{\alpha_m}) = g_{\alpha_m}(z) + sg_{\alpha_m}(u)$ *where* $|s| \le |\gamma(t)| = M|t|$. *Thus,* $g_{\alpha_m} \in \mathscr{K}_{M,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ *and*

$$\Big|\big(\sum_{v=1}^p s_v\big) f(\varepsilon\eta_{\alpha_m})\Big| = \Big|\big(\sum_{v=1}^p s_v\big) g_{\alpha_m}(\varepsilon)\Big| \le M\Big|\frac{g_{\alpha_m}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\partial^{\alpha_m}\xi(y)\Big|.$$

Hence there is an $a_{\alpha_m,\xi} \in \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $|a_{\alpha_m,\xi}| \le 1$ *and* $(\sum_{v=1}^p s_v) f(\varepsilon\eta_{\alpha_m}) = a_{\alpha_m,\xi} M\frac{g_{\alpha_m}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\partial^{\alpha_m}\xi(y)$. *In this way, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f(\xi) &= f\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \partial^{\alpha_j}\xi(y)\eta_{\alpha_j}\Big) + a_{\alpha_m,\xi} M\frac{g_{\alpha_m}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\partial^{\alpha_m}\xi(y) \\ &= f\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \partial^{\alpha_j}\xi(y)\eta_{\alpha_j}\Big) + a_{\alpha_{m-1},\xi} M\frac{g_{\alpha_{m-1}}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\partial^{\alpha_{m-1}}\xi(y) + a_{\alpha_m,\xi} M\frac{g_{\alpha_m}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\partial^{\alpha_m}\xi(y) \\ &\quad \cdots \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\alpha_j,\xi} M\frac{g_{\alpha_j}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\partial^{\alpha_j}\xi(y) \\ &= M\sum_{|\alpha|\le k} a_{\alpha,\xi}\frac{g_\alpha(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\partial^\alpha\xi(y), \end{aligned}$$

where all $|a_{\alpha,\xi}| \le 1$. $\square$

It is similar to Cor. 4.5 that we have

Corollary 4.7 $M \ge 1$, $\gamma(t) = Mt$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{C}$. *If* $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)^{[\gamma,V]}$ *is of order* k *and* $\operatorname{supp} f = \{y\}$, *then* f *has the following properties.*

(1) If $|\alpha| \le k$ *and* $f[z_0(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)] \ne 0$ *for some* $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, *then there exists an* $\varepsilon > 0$ *such that* $f[z_0(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)] \ne 0$ *when* $0 < |z| \le \varepsilon$, *that is, the equation*

$$f\big[z_0(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)\big] = 0, \quad |z| \le \varepsilon$$

has the unique solution $z = 0$. Hence if $|\alpha| \le k$ and there is $\{z_v\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that each $z_v \ne 0$, $z_v \to 0$ and each $f[z_v(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)] = 0$, then $f[z(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)] = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

(2) If $|\alpha| \le k$, then $f[z(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)]$ is Lipschitz, that is, there is an $A_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\Big| f\big[z(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)\big] - f\big[u(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)\big] \Big| \le A_\alpha |z - u|, \quad \forall\, z, u \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\Big| f\big[\partial^\alpha \xi(y)(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)\big] - f\big[\partial^\alpha \eta(y)(\bullet - y)^\alpha/(\alpha!)\big] \Big| \le A_\alpha \big|\partial^\alpha \xi(y) - \partial^\alpha \eta(y)\big|, \ \forall\, \xi, \eta \in C^\infty(\Omega).$$

References

[1] Li Ronglu, Zhong Shuhui and Kim Dohan, Demi-linear analysis II—demi-distributions, to appear.

[2] Li Ronglu, Zhong Shuhui and Li Linsong, Demi-linear analysis I—basic principles, J. Korean Math. Soc., 2009, 46(3): 643-656.

[3] Li Ronglu, Chen Aihong and Zhong Shuhui, Demi-linear duality, J. Inequal. Appl., 2011, 2011:128, doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2011-128.

[4] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1990.

[5] J. Barros-Neto, An Introduction to the Theorey of Distributions, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1973.

[6] Li Ronglu, Invariants in abstract duality, J. Aust. Math. Soc., 2004, 76: 369-381.